

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/937,100	09/20/2001	Francis J Carr	MERCK 2309	4360
	7590 01/03/200 TE, ZELANO & BRA	EXAMINER		
2200 CLARENDON BLVD. SUITE 1400 ARLINGTON, VA 22201			WESSENDORF, TERESA D	
			, ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		·	1639	
		·	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/03/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/937,100	CARR, FRANCIS J	
Examiner	A -4 11-:4	
- Adminior	Art Unit	

	00/00/,700				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	T. D. Wessendorf	1639			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 20 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compart following time periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv.	on the same day as filing a Notice of pwing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in diance with 37 CFR 1.114. The replaced of the final rejection.	f Appeal. To avoid ab ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (y must be filed within	ence, which CFR 41.31; or n one of the		
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI).	f the final rejection. RST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO		
been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened st above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the is after the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, ma	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) ay reduce any		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>18 October 2006</u> . A beauthe date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repart AMENDMENTS	, or any extension thereof (37 CFR	41.37(e)), to avoid di	smissal of the		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	• •	educing or simplifying	the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		·	LANCE COAN		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324) 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, timely filed amendm	nent canceling		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none.		<u>⁄ill-be entered and an</u>	explanation of		
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>52-74</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>16–51</u> .					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			•		
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(nils to provide a (1).		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ince because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).					
13. Other:		1.08			
		T. D. Wessendorf			
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1639			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons set forth in the last Office action, 5/18/2006 under 35 USC 112, first paragraph rejection, 35 USC 102 and 103. Applicants' arguments regarding the specific unique tract, shown at page 4 is not claimed. There is no other description of any combinations of amino acids that can be proteolytically cleaved by protease at the intended site (different from the uncleaved protein to which it is attached) to be effective as a tract since amino acids are known to be protease sensitive to the different proteases at different sites along the protein chain. Applicants' arguments with respect to 102 over Matthew has been considered. As acknowledged by applicants Matthews discloses a AA-flanked libraries. Whether Matthews uses the unique sequences as a linker in the fusion is immaterial as the claims do not recite for any function of the tract except that they are unique, which the linkers of Matthews are. The broad claimed library having no defined structure for any of the components contained therein is subject to numerous interpretations for the unique tract.